

**REMARKS**

**INTRODUCTION:**

Claim 26 has been cancelled. Claims 1-11, 16-17 and 20 have been amended. Claims 1-11 and 16-22 are pending and under consideration.

**REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112:**

With respect to the feature "a position in the real world", this feature is disclosed at page 24, lines 22-23, and page 26, lines 16-18 of the present Specification.

With respect to the feature that a user controls the resource setting, page 32, line 17 of the present Specification states that the user selects the software as the resource, and the resource setting unit 41 sends a download request of the software. Furthermore, page 36, lines 13-15 state that when the user selects the actual place of move out, the resource setting unit 41 reads out.

Thus, these rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claim 26 is cancelled herein. Accordingly, the rejection of this claim is moot.

With respect to the limitation "the content", the claims have been amended herein to overcome the rejection.

**REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§102 and 103:**

Using independent claim 1 as an example, this claim recites said resource setting means receives the content of the hardware or software information transmitted by said resource information processing means of said first computer and directly sets the hardware or software.

In contrast, Savitsky discloses a printer agency 60 between a printer 62 and a browser 64. Savitsky, FIG. 5. Thus, this reference processes print information from the browser 64, to the printer agency 60 and then to the printer 62.

With respect to Yacoub and Carpenter, Yacoub spools data temporarily in the server before printing, and Carpenter does not overcome this deficiency in Yacoub.

Furthermore, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's position as set forth in item 'B' of the Response to Arguments. The Examiner relies upon column 11, lines 40-60 of Yacoub as teaching the user selecting the appropriate printer. However, this portion refers to a virtual printer 610, which notifies the user of the location of the print job. There is no teaching at this portion that states that the user selects the printer. Instead, the server automatically selects the printer. The Examiner asserts that he is entitled to rely upon the "broadest reasonable interpretation" of the claims. However, since there is no teaching regarding user selection, even under this broad interpretation, the claimed feature is not taught.

Based on the above, withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

**CONCLUSION:**

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

9-2-05

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Michael J. Badagliacca  
Registration No. 39,099

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-1500  
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501